# EXHIBIT B
# Original written statement of [redacted]

**Source document:** 2. WRITTEN STATEMENT OF [redacted]

**Description and provenance:** The supplied three-page firsthand statement bearing an electronic signature and date.

**What this exhibit establishes or supports:** Documents [redacted] contemporaneous understanding that he was detained, the officers' statements and conduct, and his explanation that the encounter was not voluntary.

**Context and evidentiary limits:** The statement is firsthand evidence of [redacted] experience. The supplemental statement at the front makes the totality-of-the-circumstances position explicit.

**Requested investigative follow-up:** Evaluate the account with the recordings and officer interviews; distinguish personal observations from inferences about police intent.

**Original pages:** 3
**Integrity:** The supplied PDF pages follow this cover sheet without substantive editing. Packet pagination is added in the lower margin.

**SHA-256 of source PDF:**

25c4806c0e9b70672c06e8d0b3a124b48b8eee487e9e4f262313d51e7e588373

# WRITTEN STATEMENT OF [redacted]

## In Support of Formal Citizen Complaint Against Detective Koker

Incident date: September 15, 2026
Location: Wayne County Housing Authority
Related FOIA case: FPD26-00643

I, [redacted] submit this written statement based on my personal knowledge and recollection of the September 15, 2026 encounter involving Fairfield Police Department Detective Koker and Lieutenant Cates of the Wayne County Sheriff's Department.

### 1. My understanding that I was detained

During the encounter, I specifically asked whether I was being detained. I asked because I wanted to understand whether I was free to end the encounter and disengage from the officers.

Lieutenant Cates expressly answered:

"Yes, you are being detained."

I also addressed the issue directly with Detective Koker. During that exchange, Koker stated:

"At the moment? Yeah, we were, to give you that citation."

These statements confirmed my understanding that I was being detained during the encounter.

### 2. The totality of the circumstances

My understanding was not based solely on the presence of police officers. It was based on the officers' statements, their conduct, and the circumstances surrounding the encounter.

Although I was not handcuffed or physically restrained, and although I was not necessarily given an express order to stand in one particular place, I did not understand the encounter to be voluntary.

PUBLIC COPY - IDENTIFYING DETAILS REDACTED

I reasonably understood that attempting to close the door, terminate the interaction, or otherwise disengage could result in further police action. I was concerned that doing so could be characterized as disorderly conduct, obstruction, or another offense.

I did not believe I could simply end the encounter without risking additional law-enforcement consequences.

My understanding that I was detained existed at the time of the encounter. It was the reason I specifically asked whether I was being detained.

## 3. The officers' statements and conduct

Detective Koker's incident report states that Lieutenant Cates remained with me while Koker returned to his patrol vehicle to issue the notice to appear citation.

Koker also explained that Cates was positioned there:

"Just to make sure that we could still make contact with you."

This statement is consistent with my understanding that the officers were maintaining contact and control of the encounter rather than treating it as a voluntary interaction that I could freely terminate.

## 4. Relevance to the body-camera recording

I submit this statement to document my firsthand experience and understanding of the encounter.

I request that the department consider this statement together with:

- Detective Koker's incident report;
- Lieutenant Cates's statement that I was detained;
- Detective Koker's statements concerning the detention;
- The complete body-camera recordings;
- Dispatch records and related documentation; and
- Any available recording metadata and flagging records.

I specifically request an investigation into whether this encounter resulted in a detention within the meaning of 50 ILCS 706/10-20(a)(7)(B)(iv), and whether the body-camera recording was properly flagged as required by law and department policy.

PUBLIC COPY - IDENTIFYING DETAILS REDACTED

I am not claiming that physical restraint or handcuffs were necessary for a detention to occur. I am asking that the encounter be evaluated based on the totality of the circumstances, including what the officers told me and what a reasonable person in my position would have understood.

I submit this statement in support of my formal citizen complaint against Detective Koker.

I certify that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge and recollection.

**Electronically signed:**

[redacted]

PUBLIC COPY - IDENTIFYING DETAILS REDACTED